

1

Original: 2456

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HOUSE BOX 202020
HARRISBURG, FENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-6430
FAX: (717) 783-6683

DISTRICT OFFICE: 219 MORTON AVENUE FOLEOM, PENNEYLVANIA 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



CHAIRMAN
PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE COMMITTEE

March 16, 2005

John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown 2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Chairman McGinley:

The House Professional Licensure Committee held a meeting on March 15, 2005, to consider the following:

Regulation 16A-698 – Proposed rulemaking of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors relating to supervised clinical experience. The committee voted to take no formal action until the final regulation is promulgated. The committee submits the following comments:

 The committee notes the important work of clinical social workers, marriage and family therapists, and professional counselors with respect to helping the Commonwealth's critizens.

The committee also notes the policy shift embodied in this regulation. The board's policy has been to limit the amount of individuals that could be supervised in a group, regardless of whether the applicants were applying to become clinical social workers, marriage and family therapists or professional counselors. However, the board provided a detailed procedure to waive that limit, with that procedure entailing a written application for waiver which must be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Further, the committee notes the extensive requirements placed on supervisors. Those requirements include writing written reports about the competence of supervisees and reviewing those reports at least every quarter, observing patient sessions, making recommendations bearing on acquiring skills necessary for independent practice, to name only three.

Given the importance of the clinical experience and the demanding work of supervisors with respect to developing professional competence on the part of applicants for licensure, the committee recommends a limit be placed on the amount of supervisors that form the group with whom a supervisor may work in an educational, institutional, or agency setting.

The committee notes the policy of the board in this proposed regulation that six is too few a number in terms of supervision, but the committee is concerned that, from a public safety standpoint, an unlimited number is too many. Consequently, the committee recommends that a limit be placed on the number and sake the board to consider whether the maximum

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HOUSE BOX 202020
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-6430
FAX: (717) 783-6683

DISTRICT OFFICE: 219 MORTON AVENUE FOLSOM. PENNSYLVANIA 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



number of supervisess that make up a group in these actings should be 10. Further, the committee asks the board to consider whether a waiver provision, such as the one currently in the regulation, should be provided for those clinical programs that seek to go beyond a group of 10 supervisees.

Regulation 16A-4814 - Proposed rulemaking of the State Board of Funeral Directors relating to unprofessional conduct. The committee "went over" this regulation.

Regulation 16A-4919 - Proposed rulemaking of the State Board of Medicine relating to registration and practice of acupuncturists. The committee voted to take no formal action until final regulations are promulgated.

Regulation 16A-4918 – Final rulemaking of the State Board of Medicine relating to disciplinary process. The committee voted to approve the regulation.

Regulation 5414 - Proposed rulemaking of the State Board of Pharmacy relating to biennial renewal fees. The committee voted to take no formal action until final regulations are promulgated.

Regulation 5120 - Proposed rulemaking of the State Board of Nursing relating to dicticiannutritionists. The committee voted to take no formal action until final regulations are promulgated. The committee submits the following comments:

1. The committee notes with favor that the board has included provisions prohibiting sexual conduct between a patient and a licensed dictician-nutritionist. The committee agrees with the board that sexual contact between a licensee and a patient is per se exploitative.

Having noted the board's efforts, however, the committee recommends that certain changes be made to strengthen and clarify the prohibitions against sexual misconduct.

The committee notes that there are some drafting errors in the definition of "sexual impropriety." By way of example, subparagraph (iii) of the definition, ("examining or touching genitals or breasts of a patient") does not include prohibitions against touching the buttocks of a patient. Subparagraph (v), ("soliciting a date from a patient") does not prohibit accepting a date from a patient. Subparagraph (vi), ("volunteering information to a patient about one's sexual problems, preferences, or fantasies") allows a licenses to provide that information if asked to do so by the patient.

The committee recommends that these subparagraphs be re-written to eliminate these infirmities.

With respect to the definition of "sexual violation," the committee recommends that changes be made to strengthen and clarify the prohibitions against sexual conduct.

By way of example, subparagraph (i) of "acxual violation." ("engaging in sexual intercourse with a patient during the professional relationship") contains no definition of

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HOUSE BOX 202020
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-6490
FAX: (717) 783-6683

District Office: 219 Morton Avenue Folsom, Pernsylvania 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



the term "during the professional relationship." Licensees must be put on notice as to what conduct is prohibited or the regulation violates the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Consequently, the committee recommends such a definition be added.

Subparagraph (ii) and (iii) of the definition of "sexual violation," ("engaging in genital to genital contact with a patient during the professional relationship," and "engaging in oral to genital contact with a patient during the professional relationship," respectively) does not encompass a prohibition against digital penetration or penetration by a foreign object or anal contact on the part of a licensee,

Subparagraph (v), ("providing or offering to provide drugs, herbs, nutritional supplements or treatment in exchange for sexual favors") introduces a new term, that of "sexual favors." There is no definition for the term "sexual favors." If the board intends to prohibit the licenses from trading drugs for an act that constitutes "sexual impropriety" or a "sexual violation," it should use those terms. If the board intends to prohibit another category of sexual contact by using the term "sexual favors," then the board should define that term.

Just as with "sexual impropriety," the definition of "sexual violation" employs the phrase, "during the professional relationship." Again, to comport with the requirements of the Due Process Clause, a definition of "during the professional relationship" should be added. This is especially true given the fact that a patient may see the licensee at intermittent periods. The committee notes that Regulation 16A-691, regulations of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors, contains a definition of "professional relationship."

The committee recommends that the definition of "sexual violation" be re-written to eliminate these infirmities.

With respect to the committee's recommendation regarding re-drafting the definition of "sexual impropriety" and "sexual violation," the committee asks the board to consider whether it should borrow language from Chapter 31 (Sexual Offenses) of Title 18 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes. For example, the board may want to borrow language from the definition of "indecent contact" found at 18 PaCS 3101, ("any touching of the sexual or other intimate parts of the person..."), as well as other definitions found in that section.

The committee further notes that in some sections of the regulation the word "patient" is used and in others the word "client" is used. There is no definition for either term. The committee seeks clarification from the board regarding the board's intention with respect to these terms. Is a "client" the same thing as a "patient?" In the context of sexual misconduct, this is an important question: The prohibitions against sexual conduct only apply to a "patient." If "client" includes a natural person, then the committee recommends that the prohibitions against sexual contact apply equally to a "patient" and a "client" when both refer to a natural person.

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HOUSE BOX 202020
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-6430
FAX: (717) 783-6683

DISTRICT OFFICE: 219 MORTON AVENUE POLSOM, PENNEYLVANIA 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



With respect to the definitions of "sexual impropriety" and "sexual misconduct," the committee sake whether the board intends to prohibit sexual contact between a licensee and a patient's or client's family members and also asks the board to consider whether a specific time period should be included. The committee notes that the regulations governing other professions, such psychologists and physicians, not only include prohibitions against sexual contact with a member of a patient's or client's family but also include discrete periods of time, namely a period of years, during which sexual contact between a patient or a client and a licensee is prohibited.

- 2. The committee notes that the board prohibits sexual impropriety and sexual violations in the list of the many prohibited activities under Section 21.711. Given that the regulation contains a section entitled Matters Related to Allegations of Sexual Impropriety or Violation, (Section 21.704), the committee recommends that language be added to Section 21.704 so that the section includes these legal propositions:
 - a licensee shall not engage in conduct constituting a sexual violation or sexual impropriety;
 - (2) engaging in conduct constituting a sexual violation or sexual impropriety is improfessional conduct and will subject the licensee to disciplinary action.
- With respect to Section 21.704, if a "client" can be a natural person, then the committee
 recommends that subsections (a), (b), and (c) be re-written so that these provisions apply
 to a "client."
- 4. The committee asks the board for clarification with respect to subsection (b) of Section 21.704. Specifically, the first sentence seems to conflict with the second sentence of that subsection. The first sentence reads, "Evidence of specific instances, opinion evidence or reputation evidence of a patient's past sexual conduct is not admissible in proceedings brought under Section 21.711 (relating to standards of professional conduct)." However, the second sentence allows the board to consider a past sexual relationship between a licensee and a patient. Presumably, svidence of a past relationship would include specific instances of sexual conduct. Hence, the committee asks the board to clarify its intent and to make the rule about admissibility of evidence clear.
- 5. With respect to Section 21.711(a)(4), ("Licensed dictician-mutritionists shall provide information that will enable clients to make their own informed decisions regarding nutrition and dictetic therapy..."), the committee seeks clarification from the board regarding whether this provision should also apply to a "patient." Further, the committee notes that in some portions of the regulation, the provisions only apply to a "patient." while in other they only apply to a "client." The committee asks the board to review the regulation to ensure that the regulation is drafted so that the appropriate provisions apply to both a "client" and a "patient." Paragraph 5 of Section 21.711(a) is an example of where this review is especially needed, as this paragraph refers to

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER
MAIN CAHTOL BUILDING
HOUSE BOX 202020
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-6430
PAK: (717) 783-6683

DISTRICT OFFICE: 219 MORTON AVENUE FOLSOM, PENNSYLVANIA 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



confidentiality on the part of client information. As drafted by the board, this provision only applies to a "patient."

- 6. The committee notes a word has been left out of subparagraph (iv) of Section 21.711(b)(9). The word "by" should be inserted after "misunderstood."
- 7. The committee recommends that Section 21.711(b)(6), ("The licensed dictician-nutritionist may not engage in conduct defined as a senial violation or sexual impropriety in the course of a professional relationship"), apply to both a "patient" and a "client."
- 8. The committee notes that Section 6(b) of the Professional Nursing Law provides:

"An applicant applying for licensure as a distician-nutritionist shall submit a written application on forms provided by the Board evidencing and insuring to the satisfaction of the Board that the applicant:

- (1) Is of good moral character.
- (2) Has received a baccalaureate or higher degree from a Board-approved, regionally accredited college or university, including a major course of study in human nutrition, food and nutrition, dietetics or food systems management.
- (3) Has completed a planned continuous preprofessional experience component in dietetic practice of not less than nine hundred (900) hours under the supervision of a registered dietician, a dietician-nutritionist licensed under this act or an individual with a doctoral degree conferred by a regionally accredited college or university in the United States with a major course of study in human nutrition, food and nutrition, nutrition education, dietetics or food system management as approved by the Board.

The committee notes that the regulation is ellent as to the requirement for licensure found in Section 6(b)(3) of the Professional Nursing Law, that of 900 hours of clinical experience. The committee recommends that Section 21.721, Education and Examination of Applicants, include language regarding the statutory requirement of 900 hours of supervised experience.

- 9. With respect to subsection (b) of Section 21.722, the committee recommends that the board change the language of that subsection. The language of Section 21.722(b) states:
 - A dietician-nutritionist license issued under this act will be renewed if the licensee applying for the renewal:
 - Completes the renewal application, including disclosing a license to practice dietetics-nutrition in any other state, territory, possession or country.
 - (2) Pays the required fee as set forth in Section 21.705 (relating to fees).

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING HOUSE BOX 202020 HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020 PHONE: (717) 783-6430 PAX: (717) 783-0683

> DISTRICT OFFICE: 219 MORTON AVENUE POLBOM, PENNSYLVANIA 19033 PHONE: (610) 461-5543



- (3) Submits proof to the Board that he or she has satisfactorily completed a minimum of 30 hours of CPE approved by the Board in accordance with Section 21.723 (relating to continuing education) during the 2 calendar years immediately preceding the application for renewal.
- (4) Discloses any discipline imposed by any state licensing board in the previous biennial period or any criminal charges pending a criminal conviction, plee of guilty or nole contenders, or admission into a probation without verdict or accelerated rehabilitative disposition program during the previous biennial period.

While the committee recognizes that renewal is a ministerial act and that the board will take action later to address criminal convictions, etc., the committee recommends that the language of subsection (b) re-written so that it states, "When applying for a renewal of a license, the licensee shall..." and then list the items the board seeks. The committee recommends this change to avoid giving licensees (and members of the public) the impression that distician-nutritionists will retain their licenses in spite of criminal convictions and other behavior that will subject them to disciplinary action.

Please feel free to contact my office if any questions abould arise.

Sincerely

Thomas P. Gennon

Chairman

House Professional Licensure Committee

The Honorable Pedro A Cortes, Secretary of the Commonwealth Department of State

The Honorable Bastl L. Merenda, Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

The Honorable Peter V. Marks, Sr., Deputy Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affaire Albert H. Masland, Chief Counsel

Department of State

Cynthia K. Montgomery, Regulatory Counsel

Department of State

Eric Battisti, Director of Legislative Affairs

Department of State

Charles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairman

State Board of Medicine

Janet H. Shields, MSN.CRNP.CS

Chairperson

State Board of Nursing

Chairman Professional Licensure committee

THOMAS P. GANNON, MEMBER

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING

HOUSE BOX 202020

HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020

PHONE: (717) 783-6430

FAX: (717) 783-0623

District office: 219 Morton Avenue Polsom, Pennsylvania 19033 Phone: (610) 461-5543



Dr. Thomas F. Matta, LMFT, Chairperson
State Board of Social Workers, Marriage & Family Therapists
And Professional Counselors

Joseph A. Fluehr, III, FD, Chairman Chairman, State Board of Funeral Directors

Michael J. Romano, Chairman State Board of Pharmacy